

Airy Sums, Kloosterman Sums, and Salié Sums

Zhengyu Mao

Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

Communicated by D. Goss

Received August 28, 1996

We prove an identity between Airy sums and Salié sums, extending a Duke–Iwaniec result. © 1997 Academic Press

Let $n > 1$ be an odd integer. The n th-degree Airy polynomial $T_n(t)$ is defined by the equality [3, p. 320]

$$T_n(u + u^{-1}) = u^n + u^{-n}, \quad u \in \mathbf{C}^\times. \quad (1)$$

For example, $T_3(t) = t^3 - 3t$. As the Airy polynomials have integral coefficients, they can also be considered as polynomials over any finite field. Let F be a finite field with $q = p^r$ elements. Let $\psi(x) = e^{2\pi i \text{Tr}(x)/p}$ be an additive character on F . For n as above, we define the Airy sum to be:

$$\sum_{t \in F} \psi(T_n(t)).$$

In the case $n = 3$, this sum is considered in [1]. It is shown that if $q \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, then

$$\sum_{t \in F} \psi(T_n(t)) = \sum_{x \in F^\times} \chi(x) \psi(x + x^{-1}) \quad (2)$$

where χ is a multiplicative character of order 3. In this paper, we generalize the equation (2) to all cases $n > 1$ odd.

Let χ be a multiplicative character of order n . We prove

THEOREM 1. *If $q \equiv 1 \pmod n$, then*

$$2 \sum_{t \in F} \psi(T_n(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{x \in F^\times} \chi^i(x) \psi(x + x^{-1}). \quad (3)$$

Before proving the theorem, we make the following remarks:

1. The sums appearing on the right-hand side of (3) are Salié sums.
2. When $n = 3$, $\chi^2(x) = \chi(x^{-1})$. A change of variable $x \rightarrow x^{-1}$ shows

$$\sum_{x \in F^\times} \chi^2(x) \psi(x + x^{-1}) = \sum_{x \in F^\times} \chi(x) \psi(x + x^{-1}).$$

Thus when $n = 3$, Theorem 1 is just identity (2).

3. In [2], a trace formula for the lifting of automorphic representations from SL_2 to \widetilde{SL}_2^3 , the threefold covering of SL_2 , is proved. It is shown that the identity (2) is essentially the fundamental lemma for that trace formula. We expect that the identity (3) for $n > 3$ could play a similar role in the theory of trace formulas.

4. Over the real field \mathbf{R} , one has the classical formula (see [3, p. 322])

$$\int_0^\infty \cos(T_n(t)) dt = \frac{\pi}{2n \sin(\pi/2n)} [J_{1/n}(2) + J_{-1/n}(2)],$$

where $J_{\pm 1/n}$ are Bessel functions. The identity (3) shall be considered as a finite field analogue of this formula.

The theorem follows from an identity between Airy sums and Kloosterman sums. Let

$$\text{Kl}(1) = \sum_{x \in F^\times} \psi(x + x^{-1})$$

be the classical Kloosterman sum. We define

$$\text{Kl}_n(1) = \sum_{x \in F^\times} \psi(x^n + x^{-n}).$$

THEOREM 2. *If $q \equiv 1 \pmod n$, then*

$$2 \sum_{t \in F} \psi(T_n(t)) + \text{Kl}(1) = \text{Kl}_n(1). \quad (4)$$

We derive the identity (3) from (4). When $q \equiv 1 \pmod n$, all the n th roots of unity lie in F . The equation $y^n = x$ has either 0 or n solutions in F for a given $x \in F^\times$. The right-hand side of (3) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{x \in F^\times} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \chi^i(x) \psi(x + x^{-1}) - \mathbf{Kl}(1) \\
&= n \left[\sum_{x \in F^\times, x = y^n \text{ for some } y} \psi(x + x^{-1}) \right] - \mathbf{Kl}(1) \\
&= \sum_{y \in F^\times} \psi(y^n + y^{-n}) - \mathbf{Kl}(1) = \mathbf{Kl}_n(1) - \mathbf{Kl}(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the identity (4) implies (3).

To prove (4), we introduce the quadratic extension K of F . Let $H = K \setminus F$. Let S be a subset of F

$$\{x \in F \mid x = u + u^{-1}, u \in H\}$$

and S' be the subset

$$\{x \in F \mid x = u^n + u^{-n}, u \in H\}.$$

LEMMA 1. *With $q \equiv 1 \pmod n$, we have $S = S'$, and the map $x \rightarrow T_n(x)$ defines a bijection from S to itself.*

Proof. From (1), T_n maps the set S into S' . As n is odd, the condition $(n, q-1) = n$ implies $(n, q+1) = 1$. Thus $u \in H$ implies $u^n \in H$. Thus $S' \subset S$. To complete the proof, we only need to show that T_n is an injection from S to S' . For $x_1, x_2 \in S$, with $x_1 = u_1 + u_1^{-1}$, $x_2 = u_2 + u_2^{-1}$, assume that $T_n(x_1) = T_n(x_2)$. Then

$$u_1^n + u_1^{-n} = u_2^n + u_2^{-n}.$$

We get either $u_1^n = u_2^n$ or $u_1^n = u_2^{-n}$. With the right choice of u_2 , we can assume that the former is true. There is an n th root of unity ζ , necessarily in F^\times , satisfying $u_1 = u_2 \zeta$. Thus

$$x_1 - x_2 \zeta = u_1^{-1} - u_2^{-1} \zeta = u_2^{-1} (\zeta^{-1} - \zeta) \in F$$

As $u_2 \notin F$, this implies $\zeta^{-1} - \zeta = 0$. Since -1 is not an n th root of unity, $\zeta = 1$ and $x_1 = x_2$. ■

Let \hat{S} be the complement of S in F . Then

$$\hat{S} = \{x \in F \mid x = u + u^{-1}, u \in F^\times\}.$$

Observe that for any function f on F ,

$$\sum_{x \in F^\times} f(x + x^{-1}) = 2 \sum_{t \in \mathcal{S}} f(t) - \sum_{t = \pm 2} f(t)$$

as $t = x + x^{-1}$ has only one solution when $t = \pm 2$. Therefore, we have

$$\text{Kl}(1) = \sum_{x \in F^\times} \psi(x + x^{-1}) = 2 \sum_{t \in \mathcal{S}} \psi(t) - \sum_{t = \pm 2} \psi(t) \quad (5)$$

and, using identity (1),

$$\text{Kl}_n(1) = \sum_{x \in F^\times} \psi(T_n(x + x^{-1})) = 2 \sum_{t \in \mathcal{S}} \psi(T_n(t)) - \sum_{t = \pm 2} \psi(T_n(t)). \quad (6)$$

Note also that

$$\sum_{t \in F} \psi(T_n(t)) = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{S}} \psi(T_n(t)) + \sum_{t \in \mathcal{S}'} \psi(T_n(t)). \quad (7)$$

From the lemma, we have

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{S}} \psi(T_n(t)) = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{S}} \psi(t). \quad (8)$$

From the Eqs. (5)–(8), we see

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{t \in F} \psi(T_n(t)) + \text{Kl}(1) - \text{Kl}_n(1) \\ &= 2 \sum_{t \in \mathcal{S}} \psi(t) + 2 \sum_{t \in \mathcal{S}'} \psi(t) + \sum_{t = \pm 2} \psi(T_n(t)) - \sum_{t = \pm 2} \psi(t). \end{aligned}$$

From the orthogonality, the sum of the first two terms is 0. The sum of the last two terms is 0 because $T_n(t) = t$ when $t = \pm 2$ (from identity (1)). We have proved identity (4).

We remark that Theorem 2 also holds when $(q^2 - 1, n) = 1$. In this case, the map $u \rightarrow u^n$ is a bijection on F , K , and H . It is then easy to see that the lemma holds in this case. The above proof carries through. However, in this case $\text{Kl}_n(1) = \text{Kl}(1)$, so identity (4) implies that the Airy sum vanishes when $(q^2 - 1, n) = 1$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I thank Steve Rallis for helpful conversations.

REFERENCES

1. W. Duke and H. Iwaniec, A relation between cubic exponential and Kloosterman sums, *Contemp. Math.* **143** (1993), 255–258.
2. Z. Mao and S. Rallis, On a cubic lifting, preprint.
3. N. G. Watson, “A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions,” Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1966.